1	DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO MET	ROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
2	TECHNICAL C	COMMITTEE
3	Date 5,	/25/16
4		
5	MINUTES O	F MEETING
6		
7	The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolita	an Planning Organization Technical Committee
8	met on May 25, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. in the City	Council Committee Room, located on the second
9	floor of Durham City Hall. The following people were in attendance:	
10		
11	David Bonk (TC Chair)	Chapel Hill Planning
12	Ellen Beckmann (TC Vice Chair)	City of Durham Transportation
13	Kumar Neppalli (Member)	Chapel Hill Engineering
14	Hannah Jacobson (Member)	City of Durham Planning
15	Tasha Johnson (Member)	City of Durham Public Works
16	Pierre Osei-Owusu (Member)	City of Durham/GoDurham
17	Margaret Hauth (Member)	Hillsborough Planning
18	Tina Moon (Member)	Carrboro Planning
19	Linda Thomas Wallace (Member)	Durham County Planning
20	Scott Whiteman (Member)	Durham County Planning
21	Laura Woods (Member)	Durham County Planning
22	Peter Murphy (Member)	Orange Public Transportation
23	Tom Altieri (Member)	Orange County Planning
24	Cara Coppola (Member)	Chatham County Planning
25	John Hodges-Copple (Member)	Triangle J Council of Governments
26	Corey Liles (Member)	Research Triangle Foundation
27	Kelly Becker (Member)	NCDOT, Traffic Operations
28	Kayla Seibel (Alternate)	Chapel Hill Planning
29	Geoff Green (Alternate)	GoTriangle
30	Eddie Dancausse	Federal Highway Administration
31	Dale McKeel	City of Durham/DCHC MPO
32	Felix Nwoko	DCHC MPO
33	Andy Henry	DCHC MPO
34	Meg Scully	DCHC MPO
35	Lindsay Smart	DCHC MPO
36	Brian Rhodes Maureen Devlin	DCHC MPO Chapel Hill Planning
37	Nathan Huvard	Chapel Hill Planning
38	Natilali Huvaru	
39 40		
40 41	Quorum Count: 17 of 31 voting members	
	Quorum Count. 17 of 51 voting members	
42		
43		

44	Chair David Bonk called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. A roll call was performed. The Voting
45	Members and Alternate Voting Members of the DCHC MPO Technical Committee (TC) were identified and
46	are indicated above. Chair David Bonk reminded everyone to sign-in using the sign-in sheet at the counter
47	near the door.
48	PRELIMINARIES:
49	Adjustments to the Agenda
50	Chair David Bonk asked if there were any adjustments to the agenda. Felix Nwoko stated there
51	were two handouts for the meeting. Felix Nwoko noted the handouts were regarding transportation and
52	health and suggested perhaps the MPO could do a webinar on it.
53	Chair David Bonk stated the LPA Staff might monitor and decide if the MPO will host one. Chair
54	David Bonk asked if there was a timeframe for when the workshop will be completed. Felix Nwoko stated
55	there was a conference call scheduled for tomorrow. Chair David Bonk asked all the local TC members to
56	send Felix Nwoko an email and let him know of their interest in a MPO facilitated webinar.
57	Public Comments
58	Chair David Bonk asked if there were any members of the public signed up to speak. There were
59	no members of the public signed up to speak during the meeting. There was no public signed up to speak.
60	CONSENT AGENDA:
61	5. Approval of April 27, 2016 Meeting Minutes
62	Chair David Bonk asked if there was any discussion on the April 27, 2016 meeting minutes. There
63	were no questions or discussion. Chair David Bonk asked for a motion to be made to approve the April 27,
64	2016 meeting minutes. Tom Altieri made a motion to approve the minutes from the MPO TC meeting and
65	Geoff Green seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
66	

68	ACTION ITEMS:
69 70 71	<u>6. Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities (Section 5310) Grant - FFY2015 and FFY2016 Program of Projects</u> Meg Scully, LPA Staff
72	Meg Scull explained Section 5310, Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities
73	grant provides funds to improve mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities by removing barriers
74	to transportation service and expanding transportation mobility options. The DCHC MPO is the Designated
75	Recipient of the funds for the Durham Urbanized Area (UZA) and distributes the funds to eligible sub-
76	recipients through a competitive selection process every other year. A Call for Projects was conducted for
77	\$477,026 (in federal funds) which was the total funding apportioned to the Durham UZA for FFY2015 and
78	FFY2016. Applications were reviewed by a subcommittee and the recommended Program of Projects from
79	the subcommittee is attached. Once approved by the Board, LPA staff will begin the grant application
80	process. Meg Scully reviewed the attachments, noting that the MPO LPA received four applications and
81	the applications were scored by a subcommittee. The proposed funding levels were on the Call for
82	Projects. There were more requests for funding than there are funds available. The subcommittee is
83	recommending that all applications receive funding and at this level. The Technical Committee is
84	recommending the Board to approve the program of projects which would be conveyed to FTA through a
85	grant application and the jurisdictions can start their projects.
86	Vice Chair Ellen Beckmann asked if the projects were scaled down to meet the budget. Meg Scully
87	noted projects came in at different amounts. Projects were recommended for funding based on need.
88	Some agencies were scaled back their request to be balanced in a geographic way. There was no official
89	scaling and there was a level at which all programs were funded.
90	Chair David Bonk asked if the Federal Share could have gone up or was there a cap. Meg Scully
91	stated the Federal Share is dictated by whether it's operational, administrative or capital expenses. Chair

92 David Bonk noted they all looked like they were funded to the maximum, so if something is cut back it

would be the total of the project that's cut, not the Federal amount. Meg Scully replied the Federal Share
is what needs to be looked at because there is a difference in the amount of local match required and
what dictates what we can distribute is the total share. There was continued discussion about Federal
Share. Chair David Bonk asked for a motion to recommend the MPO Board approve the Program of
Projects. Pierre Osei-Owusu made a motion to recommend the MPO Board approve the Program of
Projects. Kumar Neppalli seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

# 99 <u>7. Local STP-DA and TAP-DA Project Updates</u> 100 <u>Lindsay Smart, LPA Staff</u>

In 2014, the MPO Board adopted a policy regarding the federal funds that have been programmed 101 102 for locally administered projects. Annually, the DCHC MPO staff provides lists of local projects that have 103 STP-DA and TAP-DA funding and are programmed in the current or upcoming federal fiscal year to the 104 DCHC MPO TC. Once the lists are provided, the TC discusses the projects and aims to discover any projects that are at risk of falling behind schedule. The purpose of the discussion is to proactively assist TC 105 106 members with identifying solutions that will lead to successful project implementation. After the TC meeting and discussion, TC members had two to three weeks to provide a written response to the MPO 107 108 staff that outlines the steps that will be taken to implement the project on-time or within the one-year 109 grace period.

Lindsay Smart stated this is a carryover agenda item and by now they have received a written update from almost everyone. Lindsay Smart continued to explain the next steps which are to review the updates that were submitted and see if there are any emerging themes that are causing project delays. There are a lot of different reasons as to why there are project delays. At the next TC meeting, the TC will take the status updates and see if they can connect the dots to see the reason for delays, and how the TC and MPO can be helpful to improve project delivery .

Chair David Bonk asked how many projects are delayed. Lindsay Smart stated updates were due
 5/13/16 and she is still waiting on a few updates so she doesn't have a number yet. Chair David Bonk

Technical Committee 6/22/2016 Item 4

stated they want to have timely delivery of projects and in the past there were always problems with projects being delayed, which may result in the funding becoming targets during rescission time and there may be another round coming. Felix Nwoko stated the Senate Appropriations Committee approved a \$78 billion appropriations bill for the USDOT that contains a \$2.2 billion rescission.

Chair David Bonk asked about the status of future Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) 122 123 funds. In order to be safe from rescission, the project would have to have funds obligated. Chair David 124 Bonk asked "when NCDOT refers to a call for project; does that mean the MPO will do a Call for Projects or NCDOT will? What is the action that these projects have to be at, to keep from being targeted?" Felix 125 Nwoko stated that the MPO expects NCDOT will do a Call for Projects every two years for CMAQ funds. 126 Felix Nwoko stated that the question of training came up again. The MPO will facilitate training for locally 127 managed federal projects. He noted moving forward the MPO may consider requiring the TC member 128 129 agencies to request funding in stages, rather than full projects. Ellen Beckman noted Durham is interested 130 in the proposed NCDOT, FHWA, and FTA training and said it is her understanding that FHWA and NCDOT staff do most of the training,. Chair David Bonk noted the projects were either ongoing on Old Durham 131 132 Chapel Hill Road, or Chapel Hill Projects, but asked if Durham or the other jurisdictions had those set of projects related to the STP-DA funds. Lindsay Smart stated yes, the table projected on the screen is only 133 134 page one of all of the projects. Each jurisdiction or agency has their own table. Chair David Bonk requested an update on the next meeting. There was continued discussion about local STP-DA funds and allocations. 135 This was an informational report and no action is required. 136

# 137 <u>8. Directives to Staff: Consideration of MPO Name Change</u> 138 <u>Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff</u>

The Board requested the LPA MPO Staff make a recommendation regarding the potential change of the DCHC MPO name. The LPA Staff is requesting input from the TC members on this item. Chair David Bonk mentioned Councilman Steve Schewel wanted the name changed and asked if anyone knew the reason for the suggestion. Felix Nwoko answered that Councilmen Schewel and Moffitt mentioned that

143 DCHC was difficult to remember and what the letters stand for was also difficult to remember. Felix Nwoko stated that another MPO Board member also mentioned that "DCHC MPO" doesn't provide the public 144 145 with any explanation of what the MPO's functions are. Felix Nwoko stated the DCHC MPO name was created in 1990, and in 1994/1995, they considered a name change, but couldn't come to a consensus. 146 The suggestion to consider a name change was made previously and they couldn't come up with a 147 148 consensus. The members reviewed variations of acronyms for the possible name change. Chair David 149 Bonk noted we can always change but we need to lay out very clearly what the change involves. The TC continued to discuss the pros and cons of doing a name change, discussing the technical issues. There was 150 no consensus on the name change during the TC discussion. Additional discussion included the costs 151 152 involved with rebranding and changing the name on all public facing materials, email addresses, grant 153 applications, etc. Andy Henry asked if we would take the issues forward and vote. Chair David Bonk stated 154 yes, if that's the consensus of the group, it would be good to give the MPO Board a general idea of 155 whether the TC thinks it's worth pursuing. Chair David Bonk suggested that if this is the core of the Board's concern that perhaps we should hire a professional to assist with the name change. John Hodges-156 157 Copple made a motion to share the technical issues of the name change and recognize that the recommendation would not primarily be just a technical concern. Indicate there was no consensus on the 158 159 name change. Provide a detailed list of names that were put forward. If the main concern is public 160 perception and awareness, than the name change would be probably only a part of something much larger, and that should be brought to the people who are not as marketing deficient as the engineers in 161 planning. Margaret Hauth seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. 162 **REPORTS:** 163

## 164 8. Reports from the LPA Staff

165 Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff

166 There was no report from the LPA Staff.

### 167 **<u>9. Report from the DCHC MPO TC Chair</u>**

168

#### David Bonk, DCHC MPO TC Chair

- 169 Chair David Bonk announced on June 16, 2016, at the Culbert Middle School in Chapel Hill there 170 will be a public information session on the roundabout at Bennet Road and Mount Carmel Church Road at
- 171 4:00 pm 7:00 pm and NCDOT is coordinating it.
- 172 Chair David Bonk stated Chapel Hill Town Council has approved the Local Preferred Alternative
- 173 (LPA) for the Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Corridor Study. Chapel Hill is in discussions with FTA about the next
- steps. One of the next steps is related to the 2040 MTP. He noted the plan will be reviewed and may need
- to be amended.
- 176 Chair David Bonk mentioned the Comprehensive Transportation Plan and asked if Andy Henry
- 177 reviewed the draft CTP plan. Andy Henry stated there were a couple of changes over the last couple of
- 178 months. The draft CTP should go to local jurisdictions, and will be preleased for public comment in August,
- 179 local jurisdictions in September, and adopted in November.
- Chair David Bonk stated two topics related to the MTP is the Community Viz Scenario Planning process, and the socio economic data (SE data). There has been a smaller group meeting on this. In the fall there will be model update testing and as far as the SE data, this schedule suggests we are looking for local review endorsement in October. Chair David Bonk stated he wanted everyone to be aware of what's going on with September and October being crunch time for the Community Viz process. Chair David Bonk noted the SPOT P4.0 process may be coming back to the Board. Lindsay Smart
- 186 stated yes. The MPO Board approved the allocation of regional impact projects be posted and circulated 187 for public review. The item will come back to the Board for the Board's June meeting.

## 188 **10. NCDOT Reports**

- 189 David Keilson, NCDOT Division 5, stated the Alston Avenue project is subject to let in June.
- 190 NCDOT Division 7 was not present at the meeting. Chair David Bonk stated he received email
- 191 stating they are unable to make the meeting.

192	There was no report from NCDOT Division 8
-----	---

193Kelly Becker, NCDOT Traffic Operations, stated the Broad and Carver mini roundabout in Durham

- 194 is complete.
- 195
- 196 Chair David Bonk asked Geoff Green about the status of the state's Light Rail transit cap. Geoff

197 Green stated the House passed their version of the budget, which includes provision removing the cap on

- 198 Light Rail. The Senate is releasing its budget proposal and they will pass it at some point and it's expected
- 199 to be done at the end of the month.
- 200 Chair David Bonk announced Lauren Blackburn is leaving NCDOT.
- 201

## **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

### 202 11. Recent News, Articles, and Updates

203 Dale McKeel stated he saw a reference to a Senate Bill talking about bike ped planning.

- 204 ADJOURNMENT:
- 205 There being no further business before the DCHC MPO Technical Committee, the meeting was
- adjourned at 10:22.