

ADOPTED METHODOLOGY OVERARCHING PRINCIPLES

- The DCHC MPO's Local Input Points will be allocated to projects that aim to achieve the goals of the adopted Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and align with the priorities of the DCHC MPO.
- The NCDOT's raw quantitative scores serve as the quantitative basis for the MPO's prioritization of projects.

PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

- The DCHC MPO staff and TC members will perform a
 precursory review of project eligibility beginning with the
 highest scoring project first and then working down the list by
 decreasing project scores. The precursory review of project
 eligibility will consider factors, including:
 - Is the project in the adopted MTP?
 - · Is the project in an adopted regional or local plan?
 - Has a feasibility study been started or completed for the project?
 - Has any preliminary engineering been started or completed for the project?
 - Is the cost justified by the project benefits?
 - Does the total cost to NCDOT exceed the amount of funding available for the respective funding tier?

FACTORS FOR ASSIGNING POINTS

- The allocation of Local Input Points in the Regional and Division categories for each mode will be informed by the following factors.
 Local Input Points will be assigned in priority order based on the goals below with the first goal being the highest priority and the last goal being a lower priority.
 - The likelihood of receiving funding through STI considering the amount of funding available within each Division or Region, historical funding levels for the mode, and the normalization limitations that NCDOT has adopted
 - The priorities of the current MTP including the adopted distribution of funding between modes and the planning horizon year of projects
 - The effect that receiving funding for a project may have on the likelihood of other projects being funded in the Division or Region considering the limitations set by the STI legislation
 - If the project is located within an area of overlapping Environmental Justice Communities of Concern identified in the MPO's 2014 Environmental Justice Report
 - Public input received during public input sessions
 - · Geographic and jurisdictional balance

ASSIGNMENT OF POINTS FOR DIVISION TIER

RECOMMENDED:

- 300 points to Highway
- 500 points to Public Transit
- 200 points to Bicycle and Pedestrian
- 800 points could be assigned to any mode and project type

ACTUAL:

- 550 points to Highway
- 450 points to Public Transit
- 800 points to Bicycle and Pedestrian

ASSIGNMENT OF POINTS (SEE ACCOMPANYING HAND-OUT) Discription Mode Project Rouse / Facility From / Category Name Cross Street Discription Billage Mode Discription Billage Mode Rouse / Facility From / Category Name Cross Street Discription Discription Control 1 Shared In Part of Category Name Cross Street Discription Control 2 shared use path and connecting sidewalks from the 2,009,305 42.95 Durham Factors 1, 2, 4 facely by synath bridge to threw Grantly Park. In Discription Mode Rouse / Facility From / Country Category Name Cross Street Discription Mode Rouse / Facility From / Category Name Cross Street Discription Mode Rouse / Country Category Name Cross Street Discription Mode Rouse / Country Category Name Cross Street Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Discription Mode Rouse / Category Name Factors 1, 2, 3, 5 Dis

| | | | AS | | GNMENT OF | | | TS | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|-----------|--|
| DCHC MPO Local Input Points | Mode | Project Category | Route / Facility Name | From / Cross Street | Description | Cost To NCDOT | Division Needs Quantitative (Raw) Score (Out of 50) | County(s) | Methodology/Reasoning for Points Assignment (or No Points) |
| 100 | Highway | Regional Impact | NC 751 | SR 1731 O'Kelly Chapel Road | Improve existing at-grade, skewed intersection. The existing alignment for this intersection makes turning from northbound NC 751 on to O Kelly Chapel Road very difficult and unafe for people who are not familiar with navigating the intersection. Turning from westbound Of Velly Chapel Road to southbound NC 751 is also difficult and unsafe. Turn lanes and realignment of this skewed intersection would improve travel time fror people using the turn lanes and would improve safety for everyone. | \$ | 23.95 | Chatham | Factors 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 |
| 25 | Highway | Division Needs | SR 1006 (Orange Grove Road) | SR 1148 (Eno Mountain Road)/S R 1192 (Mayo Street) | Construct new section of SR 1184 (Eno Mountain Road) to align with SR 1192 (Mayo Street) and install signal. | \$ 1,650,000 | 17.56 | Orange | Factors 1, 2, 3, 5, 6. The DCHC MPO's limited to 1800 total points. This project's raw score was less than 20 and is considered not as competitive as the higher scoring projects. Therefore, DCHC MPO's assigned as many points as possible, given the limited number of points of the point of th |

| | | | - | AS | | NMENT (ACCOMPANYING | | | 113 | |
|---|---------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|--|-----------|---|
| DCHC MPO Local Input Points | Mode | Project Category | Route / Facility Name | From / Cross Street | From / Cross Street | Description | Cost To NCDOT | Division Needs Quantitative (Raw) Score (Out of 50) | County(s) | Methodology/Reasoning for Points Assignment (or No Points) |
| 25 | Highway | Division Needs | | US 15/501 (Fordham Blvd) | Ephesus Church Rd | Construct extension of existing roadway (Elliott Rd) on new location between Ephesus Church Rd and US 15/501. The primary benefit of the new segment of road is to alleviate traffic at the intersection of US 15/50 REphesus Church Road, as well as 15/50 Rephesus Church and Elliott, The evaluation of the benefit should take into consideration the future traffic reduction and the intersection OS at US 15/502/Ephesus Church, and the future LOS at the Elliott/US 15/501 intersection | \$ 7,600,000 | 14.09 | Orange | Factors 1, 2, 3. The DCHC MPO's imitted to 1800 total points. This project's raw score was less than 20 an is considered not as competitive as the higher scoring projects. Therefore, DCHC MPO assigned as many points as possible, given the limited number of points available. The DCHC MPO's Methodology states, "Allocation of the MPO's Local Input Points is based on a scores from MCDOT, the review of project eligibility factors, and qualitative factors that reflect established regional goals and objectives. The DCHC MPO's maximize the number of projects that could be competitive for funding and that also meet the DCHC MPO's goals and objectives for the MPO' region." |
| 100 | Transit | Division Needs | Fayette ville St Transit Corridor Improve ments | | | Fayetteville St Transit Corridor; Transit Corridor improvements (access and stop improvements, bus shelters) GoDurham5, 5K, 7, 14; GoTriangle 800, 805 | \$ 265,000 | 20.74 | Durham | Factors 1, 2, 3, 6 |

| | | | | GNMENT EE ACCOMPANYIN | | | 110 | |
|---|---------|---------------------|--|--|---------------|--|-----------|--|
| DCHC MPO Local Input Points | Mode | Project Category | Route / Facility Name | Description | Cost To NCDOT | Division Needs Quantitative (Raw) Score (Out of 50) | County(s) | Methodology/Reasoning for Points Assignment (or No Points) |
| 100 | Transit | Division Needs | Village Neighborhood Transit Center | Design and Construction of NTC: Village Neighborhood Transit Center. GoDurham 28, 3, 16, 16A, 16B. | \$ 85,800 | 20.28 | Durham | Factors 1, 2, 3, 6 |
| 100 | Transit | Division Needs | Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system along Martin Luther King, Ir Blvd and 15/501 South | Chapel Hill Transit North South Corridor BRT Project (formerly Alternatives Analysis) - Bus Rapid Transit along Martin Luther King Jr. Blyd and South Columba Streets, between Eluanks Park-and-Ride and Southern Village. | \$ 24,200,000 | 19.00 | Orange | Factors 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 |

| | | | | GNMENT TE ACCOMPANYIN | | | NTS | |
|---|---------|---------------------|---|--|---------------|--|-----------|--|
| DCHC MPO Local Input Points | Mode | Project Category | Route / Facility Name | Description | Cost To NCDOT | Division Needs Quantitative (Raw) Score (Out of 50) | County(s) | Methodology/Reasoning for Points Assignment (or No Points) |
| 100 | Transit | Division Needs | Chapel Hill Transit FY20 MLK CorridorVeh2Expansion | Four additional buses (4) will be introduced along the Martin Luther King Jr South Columbia ? 15/501 corridor (T Route, NU Route, A Route, NS Goule). Improvements will include headway reduction and amenity improvements along the corridor. | \$ 318,385 | 16.82 | Orange | Factors 1, 2, 3 |
| 50 | Transit | Division Needs | Chapel Hill Transit FY2017 Route F, Route GW, Route J Vehicle Expansion | This project will add additional peak hour service for 1 year on route F (Colony Woods, Franklin St. and McDugle School) in response reduction. This route provides 240,627 rides a year. Additional peak hour service on buses to the existing CW route (Carrboro, Jones Ferry Park and Ride and Weaver St) in response to ridership growth, resulting in headway reduction. This project will add additional peak hour service on the J Chapel Hill Transit Route. | | 16.48 | Orange | Factors 1, 2, 3. The DCHC MPO is limited to 1800 total points. This project's raw socce was less than 2 and is considered not a project. Therefore, DCHC Medical projects. Therefore, DCHC Medical projects therefore, DCHC Medical power has bimted number of points available. The DCHC MPO's Methodology states, "Allocation on the MPO's Local Input Points is based on a combination of the raw quantitative scores from MCDOT, the review of project eligibility factors, and qualitative factors that reflect established regional goals and objectives. The DCHC MPO's maximize the number of projects funding and that also meet the DCHC MPO's goals and objectives for the MPO region." |

NEXT STEPS

- MPO Technical Committee review & recommend MPO Board approve release of Preliminary Local Input Points Assignment for public review & comment. (August meeting)
- MPO Board review & approve release of Preliminary Local Input Points Assignment for public review & comment. (September meeting)
- Preliminary Local Input Points Assignment posted to MPO website for public review & comment. (September 14)

NEXT STEPS

- MPO Technical Committee review any public comment received & recommend MPO Board hold a public hearing at their October meeting and then approve final Local Input Points Assignment. (September meeting)
- MPO Board review any public comment received, hold a public hearing, and approve final Local Input Points Assignment. (October meeting)
- DCHC MPO LPA staff submit Local Input Points to NCDOT SPOT via SPOT Online. (October 12-21st)

TODAY'S ACTION

- Review & recommend the DCHC MPO Board release of preliminary Local Input Points Assignment for public review & comment period.
- Recommend the MPO Board grant DCHC MPO LPA staff the flexibility
 to coordinate with other MPOs, RPOs, and Divisions on the assignment
 of Local Input Points for the Division Needs tier and make modifications,
 if necessary, to the preliminary assignment of DCHC MPO's Local Input
 Points to maximize the potential number of projects in the DCHC MPO
 area to receive funding through the NCDOT SPOT P4.0 process.

QUESTIONS?

Thank you!