

Connect 2045

Development & Mobility Investment Scenarios



Connect 2045 is the way that the Research Triangle Region is examining realistic choices for improved connection of the region's communities through future mobility investments.

To better understand our choices, Connect 2045 creates scenarios, then examines them across a range of performance measures. A scenario describes a way that the future *might* be, but it is not a forecast, which predicts the way the future *will* be, nor a plan, which defines what the future *should* be.

Scenarios have two foundations: a development foundation – which describes a regional pattern of land use, and a mobility investment foundation – which defines the roadway and transit networks and transportation services that can best serve the development pattern and connect key centers. Bringing these two foundations together to create scenarios is especially important since each foundation tends to have different decision makers: land use and development decisions are made by local governments and major institutions while major transportation investments are often made by regional transportation agencies and state government. Scenarios can help each set of decision makers better understand how the choices they make influence -- and are influenced by -- other decisions.

The Development Foundation: Anchor Institutions & Mainstays (AIM)

Connect 2045's development foundation is built around two key elements that we represent with nautical terms: anchors and mainstays. **Anchors**, of course, secure a ship in place. Similarly, anchor institutions in the Research Triangle Region define fixed locations that have long served as key travel destinations in the region and will continue to do so over the long term. On a ship, the mainstay is the principal rope securing the main mast to the bow. More generally, the term has come to mean a key element on which other things are based or depend. The Connect 2045 scenarios define **mainstays** as specific locations that are or could become key centers of activity on which to base new or improved transportation investments. The table below provides some context and examples for these two elements of the Development Foundation.

Foundation	Description	Examples
 Anchor Institutions	Large, "place-based" institutions with fixed locations that serve as major employment hubs and travel destinations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Universities • Medical Centers • Research Triangle Park • Raleigh-Durham International Airport • State Government Campuses
 Mainstays	Key locations in the region with the potential for significantly influencing mobility within regional transportation corridors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City cores • Other existing or planned major activity centers • Potential additional centers along major transit lines or roadways.

The Mobility Investment Foundation: Transportation Networks & Services

Networks consist of links and nodes. For example, the highway network includes different kinds of roads and important nodes such as interchanges where these roads intersect. Transit networks include rail and bus routes and nodes such as park and ride lots or transit stations and stops where people access or transfer between transit routes.

Services include activities and investments designed to make the use of the networks as effective as possible. Examples include the use of advanced technologies, transportation demand management efforts, and pricing signals such as parking and transit fare policies.

Connect 2045 develops these mobility foundations using two principal sources:

1. Fiscal constraint sources that start with current state and federal transportation funding legislation and local government historical investment patterns, then supplements them in some scenarios with potential changes and additions, usually in the out years of a scenario.
2. Plan and program sources that are bracketed by a floor of our current Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) and a ceiling of our Comprehensive Transportation Plans (CTPs). The mix of roadway and transit investments can be varied in scenarios by selecting sets of transit and roadway projects closer to the floor (constrained) or closer to the ceiling (aspirational).

Development Foundation + Mobility Investment Foundation = Scenario

Development and Mobility foundations are combined in a number of different ways to create scenarios. The table below illustrates conceptually how the two foundations combine to create scenarios. The actual number of scenarios and the details of each will be developed during the summer of 2016.

	Mobility Foundation #1	Mobility Foundation #2	Mobility Foundation #3
Development Foundation #1	Scenario #1	Scenario #2	
Development Foundation #2	Scenario #3	Scenario #4	
Development Foundation #3	Scenario #5	Scenario #6	Scenario #7
Development Foundation #4			Scenario #8

The subsequent pages in this document describe different development foundations, and complementary mobility investment foundations that might align with development foundations. The two foundations are then combined into a set of Development & Mobility Investment scenarios to be examined in Connect 2045.



Scenario Development Foundations (framework)

a. By Right

- i. Based on zoning or the equivalent
- ii. If someone walked into the planning office today seeking a site plan approval or building permit with no additional policy board action, what would likely get built?
- iii. Initial parcel-level place types generated automatically based on zoning-to-place type equivalency table provided by local planning staff
- iv. Initial scenario complete by July 2016

b. Community Plans

- i. Based on adopted or “most likely” plans
- ii. Includes pre-populated “default 2045” place types from 2040 MTP CV run
- iii. Initial scenario complete by July 2016

c. Anchor Institutions & Mainstays (AIM) – High Aspirations

- i. Anchor institutions are universities, medical centers, RTP, RDU, state government.
- ii. Mainstays are key opportunity sites, generally along major roadway and transit corridors, including the downtowns of larger cities and towns. Special attention could be paid to “infill” sites along major transit corridors and significant parcels under the control of local, state or federal agencies.
- iii. This is a “what if” scenario that need not be based on existing planning efforts
- iv. “High Aspirations” means development proposals push the envelope, but although aspirational, are still “market possible” over the scale of a generation.
- v. Scenario drivers complete by August 2016; initial scenarios complete by September 2016



Scenario Mobility Investment Foundations (framework)

- a. Constrained
 - i. Starts with the Existing + Committed (E+C) network and adds projects
 - ii. Modest state and federal transit funding; current STI rail constraints remain
 - iii. No increase in state or federal gas tax (declining revenues as efficiencies outpace growth)
 - iv. Wake County does not pass local option sales tax
 - v. STI-limited division tier road projects with no increase in historical local effort
 - vi. STI-limited ped-bike funding with no increase in historical local effort
- b. Moderate/Plans
 - i. Restoration of original STI conditions with removal of rail constraints
 - ii. No major change to state or federal gas tax or alternative, but assume FAST revenue trend
 - iii. Wake passes local option task and funds per plan – additional projects beyond 10 years
 - iv. Local (bond and pay-as-you-go) funding consistent with historical trend
- c. Aspirational
 - i. More state/federal project success than local plans currently assume
 - ii. Modest increase in federal or state revenues (e.g. based on higher investment states)
 - iii. STI refined to redefine statewide and regional projects for transit and remove constraints, while allowing more dollars for division tier roadways
 - iv. Increase in local funding compared to historical record

Connect 2045 Scenario Matrix

Initial scenarios -- details of scenarios still to be developed

			Mobility Investment Foundation			
			Constrained	Moderate/Plans	Aspirational	
	Development Foundation	By Right	Scenario #1	Scenario #2		
		Community Plans	Scenario #3	Scenario #4	Scenario #5	
		AIM-High		Scenario #6	Scenario #7	