DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

1

2	TECHNICAL COMMITTEE		
3	Date: January 27, 2016		
4			
5	MINUTES OF MEETING		
6			
7	The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Committee		
8	met on December 16, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. in the City Council Committee Room on the second		
9	floor of Durham City Hall. The following people were in attendance:		
10			
11	David Bonk (TC Vice Chair) Chapel Hill Planning		
12	Kumar Neppalli (Member) Chapel Hill Engineering		
13	Lisa Miller (Alternate) City of Durham Planning		
14	Scott Whiteman (Member) Durham County Planning		
15	Ellen Beckmann (Member) City of Durham Transportation		
16	Margaret Hauth (Member) Hillsborough Planning		
17	Bergen Watterson (Member) Carrboro Planning		
18	Christina Moon (Member) Carrboro Planning		
19	Laura Woods (Member) Durham County Planning		
20	Linda Thomas Wallace (Member) Durham County Planning		
21	Cara Coppola (Member) Chatham County Planning		
22	Peter Murphy (Member) Orange Public Transportation		
23	Tom Altieri (Member) Orange County Planning		
24	John Hodges-Copple (Member) Triangle J Council of Governments		
25	Patrick McDonough (Member) GoTriangle		
26	Corey Liles (Member) Research Triangle Foundation		
27	Julie Bollinger (Member) NCDOT, TPB		
28	Mila Vega (Alternate) Chapel Hill Planning		
29	Hillary Pace (Alternate) Chatham County Planning		
30	David Keilson (Alternate) NCDOT, Division 5		
31	Ed Lewis (Alternate) NCDOT, Division 7		
32	Darius Sturdivant (Alternate) NCDOT, Division 8		
33	Solanda Adkins City of Durham		
34	Janice Pointer City of Durham		
35	Dale McKeel City of Durham/DCHC MPO		
36	Felix Nwoko DCHC MPO		
37	Meg Scully Lindsay Smart DCHC MPO DCHC MPO		
38	,		
39	Tyler Bray Town of Cary Albert Ampatey DCA		
40	. ,		
41	·		
42	Gwyn Silver PAC-1/Citizen Than Austin UNC		
43			
44 45	Hong Qi Lu NCDOT Eddie Dancausse FHWA		
45	Eddie Dancausse FHWA		

46	John Grant	NCDOT	
47	Albert Amoaley	DCA	
48	John Dodson	GoTriangle	
49	Eric Landfried	GoTriangle	
50			
51			
52	Quorum Count: 20 of 31 Voting N	1embers	
53			
54			
55	Vice Chair David Bonk called the m	eeting to order at 9:03 a.m. A roll call was performed. The	
56	Voting Members and Alternate Voting Members of the DCHC MPO Technical Committee (TC) were		
57	identified and are indicated above. Vice Chair David Bonk reminded everyone that the meeting was		
58	recorded, and asked for everyone to speak into the microphones and state their name to facilitate the		
59	recording of the minutes.		
60	PRELIMINARIES:		
61	Adjustments to the Agenda		
62	Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there were any adjustments to the agenda. There were no		
63	adjustments to the agenda.		
64			
65	Public Comments		
66	Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there was any public comment on items that were not part of th		
67	agenda. There were no members of the pu	blic signed up to speak during the meeting.	
68			
69		CONSENT AGENDA:	
70	5. Approval of December 16, 2015 Meeting Minutes		
71	Vice Chair David Bonk stated the c	onsent agenda includes the minutes from the December 16 th	
72	meeting, however they will be submitted o	n February 24 th .	
73			
74		ACTION ITEMS:	

75 76

<u>6. Proposed Transit Service Changes for the US 15/501 Corridor</u> John Dodson, GoTriangle

77 78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

John Dodson, GoTriangle presented on the proposed transit service changes in the US 15/501 corridor that supports existing mobility and building the demand for light rail. John Dodson stated GoTriangle is looking at changes happening this fall and are looking to improve the efficiency of US 15/501 between Durham and Chapel Hill. GoTriangle is not proposing any changes to Chapel Hill Transit routes and they are not removing any service from Durham. John Dodson stated the goal is to create a faster and more reliant service, which includes 15 minutes at peak, and 30 minutes at mid-day Monday through Saturday, from Chapel Hill to Durham. John Dodson stated presently it's 30 minute peak and hourly in midday, so there would be an increase in frequency and they would extend the peak service to Carrboro since they currently do not provide the service. John Dodson reviewed a presentation that identified the specific areas affected. John Dodson said GoTriangle is looking to streamline the route by doing stop consolidation and in doing so, it would save 9 – 10 minutes per trip, and the customer experience would improve. There would be shared stops between GoTriangle, GoDurham, and Chapel Hill Transit. There was discussion amongst the group regarding the specific stops affected. Ellen Beckmann asked about apartment complexes near Old Chapel Hill Road. Ellen Beckmann asked if the apartments will still be served. John Dodson stated the apartments have their own shuttle service. John Dodson said GoTriangle is looking for approval in the April or May timeframe, with the hope that August would be the implementation. The TC staff could contact John Dodson if they needed any additional information. Felix Nwoko asked if the changes would take place in July. John Dodson stated GoDurham's changes would be completed in July and the GoTriangle changes would be completed in August. Felix Nwoko asked what GoTriangle's goals were for the changes. Felix Nwoko asked if the goal was increased ridership. John Dodson stated the goal encompassed a number of factors. One aspect is the traffic, specifically on US 15/501. Based on data from the last four years, traffic flow from Duke to UNC has increased. There was

continued discussion by the TC about the specific time changes and the traffic flow that is affecting service. Felix Nwoko asked if there was any change in ridership. John Dodson stated they could be increasing frequency which would hopefully increase ridership. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there were any more questions. John Hodges-Copple stated that the two routes that were overlapping at 30 minutes, would make that deviation small, so they wouldn't compress that route. Eric Landfried stated this design would be an overall 15 minute experience, so if you're leaving Durham station, the bus would leave every 15 minutes to get to UNC. If you're near the midpoint area trying to access UNC from further down the route, it will still take approximately 15 minutes.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

Patrick McDonough stated this is the most intensive effort to date for transit service along US 15/501. Patrick McDonough asked if there is interest in more of these types of presentations, presentations of bus service planning. Vice Chair David Bonk responded he thinks so, and assumes this presentation will be given to the DCHC MPO Board at their meeting in February. Vice Chair David Bonk mentioned to John Dodson that GoTriangle's process is usually to go to the public to get some input, and noted GoTriangle will have the opportunity on February 9th. The Transportation Board for the Town of Chapel Hill will be holding a Public Information meeting to provide the public with information about various aspects about Chapel Hill Transit Services and Regional Services. Vice Chair David Bonk indicated the DCHC MPO Board would be interested in gathering information they could use to go to the Town Council as part of the budget process as it relates specifically to Chapel Hill Transit. Vice Chair David Bonk stated there are questions about the use of sales tax and revenue and asked if it would be presented in a way to show that the money is being used for service improvements. Allison Carpenter, Duke University, stated that Duke University is a strong advocate for the change. This would be great for the 50,000 people at Duke's campus. Margaret Hauth asked if there are stops on the deviation that are being removed. John Dodson stated yes. Pierre Owusu suggested that the next time Durham is notified of a meeting, it is to discuss this. John Dodson stated they have already met with Chapel Hill Transit. Ellen Beckmann asked how many more resources are being devoted to the US 15/501 corridor. John Dodson stated they will be adding one bus. Eric Landfried added that during the peak times they're not adding a lot of resources. But in the off-peak times during the daytime hours on Saturdays are new dollars, from new revenues. Vice Chair David Bonk stated the general consensus is that this kind of information is important and is welcomed.

130 131

125

126

127

128

129

7. <u>Proposed DCHC Methodology for Ranking Projects and Allocating Local Input Points (SPOT P4.0)</u>

Lindsay Smart, LPA staff

133 134 135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

132

Lindsay Smart presented on the DCHC MPO's Methodology for Identifying and Ranking TIP Project Requests which is the process that the DCHC MPO will follow to develop the DCHC MPO's allocation of Local Input Points among projects for input to the STI process. Lindsay Smart stated the process has not changed significantly since the Technical Committee (TC) and MPO Board approved the original version in 2014. The changes that were made were slight modifications to make it a bit more applicable to the new SPOT P4.0 process. There was one section in the original version that described the MPO's scoring and ranking process that discussed gathering data and meeting with the TC and scoring projects and going thru an MPO data-driven process. This time for SPOT 4.0, the TC is getting all that data and some of the preliminary scores from NCDOT, so that process has changed. The language has changed to focus on how the MPO will rank projects, but not be as specific. The high level policy and process for this has not changed since the TC reviewed it and approved it a couple of years ago. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there were any questions. Ellen Beckmann asked if SPOT changed anything or given any new requirements of what needs to be in the Methodology or their approval of the document. Lindsay Smart responded no, and she was told the original Methodology was really good from the last time and was advised to make it a little less specific to a particular SPOT process like P 3.0 and P4.0. Vice Chair David Bonk stated on page 6 of the guide there is a listing of the categories for statewide mobility, regional impact, and division needs. These are categories that are created by the state. Vice Chair David Bonk said if the percentages that are

related to those are also dictated by the state. Lindsay Smart answered yes. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if the MPO has had any role in developing criteria or the proportionality of the waiting for the criteria. Lindsay Smart answered that both were developed by the work group and approved by the Board of Transportation this past summer. The MPO sent a couple of letters when Ellen Beckmann was still doing it to request the MPO have input on the statewide projects as well as some of the percentages for Division Engineer Points and Local Input Points being changed. Ultimately, the Workgroup recommended that it stay this way, and that's what the Board of Transportation approved. Vice Chair David Bonk asked do we still have that position that we want to see some of this changed. Vice Chair David Bonk stated he is sure it will come up as part of the MPO Board discussion. Vice Chair David Bonk stated he believes during the process everyone discussed a few things specifically on the proportionality issue, whether or not the fact that they were interested in it is here, but how it was weighted is more of a question. Ellen Beckmann stated she believes the structure is flawed and doesn't think it will be changed. Vice Chair David Bonk stated even though it may not be able to be changed at the state level, is it a point we want to make with the feds; it needs to be on record to say we're going to use this because it's been imposed on us, but we think it's flawed. Lindsay Smart responded the TC did bring this up repeatedly during the certification review. Lindsay Smart stated she doesn't know how much flexibility they have in modifying the SPOT process and reminded everyone that today's agenda item is how the MPO will allocate Local Input Points and not the MPO's objections to the SPOT process. Vice Chair David Bonk stated it should be reiterated to the DCHC MPO Board that the TC did and still do have concerns about the way the proportions have been allocated and the SPOT process doesn't meet the goals and objectives of the adopted MTP plan or even the plan they will be considering going forward. There is a mismatch in the way the money is allocated in a way a region would want to spend their money. Linda Thomas stated there have been issues related to the state and state policy that they talk about and may present to the DCHC MPO Board. Linda Thomas stated she believes at some point we have to go on record and hold them accountable for the response, and

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

that's our documentation as opposed to waiting until the next time comes up and we have to address it again. Patrick McDonough stated when we vote on the MTP, the DOT is voting for the MTP and approving it. Never the less, there are restrictions in policy and guidance that come through that make the ability to apply money to the plan they voted for impossible. Patrick McDonough stated he doesn't understand how policy making gets elevated, approved, and then sometimes altered from above. State level funding and guidance haven't been written to receive those priorities in a way that make sense locally. Vice Chair David Bonk stated if Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) were paying attention to the plans they would understand there is a mismatch in how we have adopted the projects we want to implement over time which is heavily weighted towards non-highway. If you look at the way the money is being spent, it's inconsistent and in theory FHWA should be coming to us to ask why. Vice Chair David Bonk asked Lindsay Smart if the MPO staff is asking the Board to approve the methodology. Lindsay Smart responded that MPO staff is asking the TC to recommend that the MPO Board approve the Methodology be released for the public review and comment period, and the MPO staff will still accept comments, if anyone else has any during that period. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there was a motion to recommend to the MPO DCHC MPO Board to approve the draft and release for public review and comment. John Hodge-Copple motioned to recommend to the MPO Policy Board to approve the draft and release for public review and comment. Ellen Beckmann seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

193

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

8. Meg Scully

Draft FY2017 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

195 196 197

198

199

200

194

Meg Scully presented the annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) that details and guides the urban area transportation planning activities. Meg Scully mentioned at the last meeting, she reviewed the UPWP, however now there are several changes. Meg Scully stated everyone should have a copy that went to the MPO Board in December, and it was released for a public comment period. There are a few

minor edits that need to be made. The MPO staff also received several requests from agencies requesting changes.

Meg Scully stated the City of Durham has worked to reallocate their funding across task codes. They're keeping their funding levels the exact same but they're taking a closer look at what they expect to do with the funds next year. There's an additional set of regional projects proposed and there is an attachment for those four projects. Meg Scully reviewed the projects. In conjunction with CAMPO, the projects are the NC 98 Corridor Study, the Triangle Toll Study, and the CSX Study. They would be funding shared products. The DCHC MPO portion for FY17 would be \$25,000 for the toll study, and \$50,000 for the CSX study. Meg Scully said the MPO staff has budgeted for those in the local match from funds they de-obligated last year to bring forward to this year. The fourth regional project is for the NC 54 Corridor Study in Carrboro and Orange County. This is a collaborative project. The UPWP would have this as a special studies project, with the additional local match being provided by Orange County and Carrboro. Meg Scully stated the TC has some changes that Chapel Hill would like to make however, she didn't have the details.

Vice Chair David Bonk stated the change Chapel Hill needed to make was regarding a transportation and connectivity study project that's being initiated in this year's work planning program. The timeline for this study will span two fiscal years, so it needs to be determined what funds to keep in this year's planning work program, and remove the remainder into next year's work program to cover the project in total. This involves an amendment to this year's planning work program and modification to the 2017 Work Program. Chapel Hill is still working with the consultant for them to give Chapel Hill an estimate of what they will spend this year versus last year.

Felix Nwoko stated the NC 54 Corridor study was initiated by elected officials in Carrboro. Felix Nwoko stated there is such a philosophical difference between Carrboro, Orange County, and NCDOT on the appropriate cross-section for NC 54. The Town of Carrboro staff is proposing to do a corridor study

and ascertain what the ultimate cross session will be. The Town of Carrboro staff has reached out to MPO because this study cannot be done in isolation. The exact split for cost share is not set yet. The MPO staff needs to have a discussion with Division 7 and NCDOT. For the purpose of the UPWP, there is \$50,000 for the project, and the TC will flush out the details. Ellen Beckmann asked who is managing this. Felix Nwoko replied the Town of Carrboro with assistance from the MPO.

For the two regional projects, the MPO staff is working with CAMPO. Felix Nwoko stated the MPO wants to be at the table when the discussion takes place since several issues have come up. Ellen Beckmann stated the split should be based on geography not the numbers that we have come up with. There was further discussion on how the cost share split should occur. Felix Nwoko stated the split discussion details will be flushed out at a later time. John Hodges-Copple stated being careful about basing project splits based on things like mileage because it may be fine for this project, but may come back to bite us on other projects that may be more expensive later. John Hodges-Copple suggested the way to go with it is to say here is the amount of funding that the MPO gets for planning.

John Hodges-Copple stated that at some point if you want to look at commuter rails from Hillsboro to Raleigh or Garner; if you start establishing funding splits based on measurement of facility lanes, not knowing what might come down the pike; he doesn't believe that is the strategic way to go. Vice Chair David Bonk stated the issue for the Board is that we pay a local match, and that's where the monies come from. It's as much a local as the federal in this case, to the degree we try to maintain a certain level of local expense as we go forth in these planning studies. There was continued discussion on the 60/40 split.

Patrick McDonough stated he wanted to get a sense of if there is a land use component for the CSX Rail Study. Tyler Gray responded that the study originated with Cary looking at the corridor and how it works through the town of Cary and what its being used for, its limitations and how they can use it in the future. The MPO had multiple discussions with the County, City, and Town staff, and elected

officials, and if the MPO would be interested in this type of study; the Town of Cary were as well. Scott Whiteman asked if anyone knows if CSX is willing to give up this line. Tyler Bray responded there is work that has come up every 10 years. CSX knows how underutilized the rail line is but Tyler Bray isn't sure if there have been conversations about CSX selling the line.

John Hodges-Copple stated his sense is to ask and answer some of the questions that were laid out, and to the degree that line does become available, have a study done that supports purchasing the line. John Hodges-Copple stated it will be opportunistic to do the study. The TC continued to discuss the timing of the study.

Meg Scully stated the TC needs to recommend the MPO Board hold a public hearing and approve the UPWP.

Vice Chair David Bonk requested a motion to refer the recommend the Unified Planning Work

Program for FY17 to the MPO Board for public hearing and approval on the same day. Margaret Hauth

made a motion to refer the revised Planning Work Program for FY17 to the MPO Policy Board for public

hearing and approval on the same day. Tom Altieri seconded the motion. Vice Chair David Bonk stated

there's a motion on the floor to recommend the draft as revised. The motion passed unanimously.

9. Board Workshop – Goals/Objectives/Performance Measures Lindsay Smart, LPA Staff

Lindsay Smart presented on behalf of Andy Henry. The TC reviewed the goals, objectives and performance measures for the 2045 MTP and recommended the MPO Board review and approve them. The MPO Board reviewed them in December and requested a Board Workshop at their January meeting. The workshop took place in January after the Board meeting. There were stations around the room. The Board members were put into groups. The Board members moved from station to station with the LPA Staff facilitating each station. The Staff received good feedback from the Board. The Board was glad to get the one-on-one help, and was able to understand how they were defining goals. The Workshop ran

out of time because of the Martin Luther King Jr. event in downtown Durham. The MPO staff didn't get as much input from Board members on performance measures but the MPO technical staff has been meeting and reviewing possible performance measures to determine if data and metrics are available. The MPO staff will continue to meet between now and March to review possible performance measures. Various performance measures will be reviewed and there will be a final proposal for the measures in April. Patrick McDonough stated he saw the item that had some of the targets from other MPOs.

Vice Chair David Bonk has a question about the chart that showed the performance measures.

Vice Chair David Bonk stated the chart shows that the performance measures column is a work in progress, and asked what's the third column that says objectives. Lindsay Smart stated the TC will see the performance measures in their March meeting and indicated the third column is the result from the input.

Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there were any questions, and there were none. Vice Chair David Bonk asked what is the designated timeframe for this. Felix Nwoko responded a hearing will be in March and approval will be in April. Vice Chair David Bonk requested a motion to recommend the Goals and Objectives to the MPO Board. Ellen Beckmann made a motion to refer this to the MPO Board and Patrick McDonough seconded the motion. A motion passed unanimously.

10. Draft Amendment #1 to the FY2016-2025 TIP Lindsay Smart, LPA Staff

Lindsay Smart presented Amendment #1 to the FY2016-2025 TIP which is a collection of project changes that have been in the works since June or July of 2015. Lindsay Smart stated the staff was waiting until the 2016-2025 TIP was adopted before collecting information from the member jurisdictions about projects needing to be updated. Lindsay Smart referenced a summary sheet and reviewed the changes.

On Amendment 1 the first change was to cancel the project break for NCDOT Safety to School project. The next project is the City of Durham's request to have the U4726 HL Barbee Road sidewalks cancelled. Since the project costs up to \$36,000, it was done in house. Those STPDA funds will be transferred to the EB-4707B. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if the funds will go back to the Durham portion of the project or the project as a whole. Dale McKeel responded that the Durham portion needed additional funding.

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

Lindsay Smart stated the next project is the new downtown Multiuse Path. Carrboro requested that it be added to the TIP. They were awarded CMAQ funding as a result of the CMAQ call for projects that the TC and Board supported this past summer. Lindsay Smart stated NCDOT requested the MPO staff modify the MPO TIP to add a new break to an interstate project that's going on; the I-540 bridge over I-40 in order to keep it separate from other improvements that are going on. Lindsay Smart stated Carrboro received CMAQ funding and are applying it to Jones Creek Greenway. The Jones Creek Greenway project is being amended to show the additional CMAQ funding. The City of Durham has requested the MPO modify the Alston Avenue sidewalk project. Three aspects of the project are changing. There are changes termini, the schedule, and reducing the project cost. The funding that's not needed for Alston Avenue will be applied to West Ellerbe Creek trail, the City of Durham has overmatched with local funding and needs the additional CMAQ funds to move this project forward. The City of Durham requested a modification to the University Road Bike/Ped project. The map for this was incorrect, so we will be updating the map for this. NCDOT requested the Auxiliary Link project be amended to delay construction from 2021 to 2022 to allow additional time for railroad coordination. Bolin Creek Greenway Phase 1b is a new project that is being added to the TIP. The TC and the Board approved allocating the MPOs STPDA regional Bike Ped project funding to this project back in December and the IPO, TC and the Board approved 2017 STPDA funds be applied to this the Bolin Creek Greenway Phase 1b project as well as the Chapel Hill portion of the Old Durham Chapel Hill Road project.

Vice Chair David Bonk stated we have Amendment 1 on the table for consideration and the request is to recommend this to the DCHC MPO Board for their review and their approval that it be released for public review and comment. Lindsay Smart corrected the motion stating the request is that they review and release it for public comment in February and will probably be adopted in April but have a public hearing at the end of the public comment period in March. John Hodges-Copple made a motion to review and release it for public comment. Margaret Hauth seconded it. The motion passed unanimously.

10. Draft Amendment #2 to the FY2016-2025 TIP

Lindsay Smart, LPA Staff

Lindsay Smart presented Draft Amendment #2 to the FY2016-2025 TIP. Lindsay Smart stated in early January the MPO received a list of actions that were approved by the Board of Transportation at their January meeting and amendment #2 reflects those actions which also includes a couple of outstanding items from December. The first project is adding a new project to the TIP; the East Durham Siding Rail project. The second is also new; Grade Separations at Blackwell and Mangum Street. The third is making a change to the Campus Walk Avenue, and LaSalle Street Sidewalk project to delay construction from 2015 to 2016. Additional time is needed for the Right of Way acquisition. The next is to accelerate Right of Way and construction for the I-40 project; the ITS improvements. The next change is converting the existing at-grade intersection at US 15/501 and Garrett Road. The change to that project is to accelerate Right of Way and construction. There were changes to all of the breaks for the NC 54 project. Changes are being made to B, C, E, F, and H. The changes being made is to delay construction from 2024-2025 to allow additional time for Right of Way for acquisition and utilities. The last project is the update of the Durham Orange Rail project to reflect the budget state cap of \$500,000.

John Hodges-Copple stated he is tempted to make a motion to separate the two Amendments because it may be more difficult for folks. John Hodges-Copple recommended, instead of asking the Board to release it for public comment that they instead provide guidance to the LPA staff and the TC on

how to conduct public engagement. Ellen Beckmann commented that perhaps it needs more than one month of public comment and review. Lindsay Smart added that it would be released in February and approved in April with a public hearing in March.

Vice Chair David Bonk supported John Hodges-Copple recommendation to recommend

Amendment # 2 to the DCHC MPO Board with the request that they give us guidance on how to proceed

with it. Lindsay Smart added maybe the Board can release it in March with a public hearing in April and

adoption in May. John Hodges-Copple asked if anyone had a problem if he made a motion like that.

Ellen Beckman asked if we would we be able to move the Campus Walk and LaSalle Street Sidewalk

project to Amendment 1 because that seems to be more suitable. Vice Chair David Bonk stated it can be

added to the motion.

Bergen Watterson asked does acceptance of this Amendment by the TC and Board mean all those funds dedicated to the Light Rail project are relinquished to other projects or is that up for discussion. What does it mean? Patrick McDonough answered in the 1st under STI, and we have the 1st budget directive amending STI. It's a hard question. There are a lot of moving parts.

John Hodges-Copple stated his motion is two parts. The first motion is to move project C-5178 the Campus Walk Avenue and Lasalle Street Sidewalk project to Amendment 1, and have it be subject to the schedule and TC recommendation for the previous meeting agenda item. For the remaining projects, we request the MPO Board provide guidance to the LPA Staff and the TC on how best to conduct public engagement on Amendment 2, and subsequent to that guidance, the TC would then make a recommendation regarding releasing for public comment. Scott Whiteman seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

11. Reports from the LPA Staff

REPORTS:

Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff

Felix Nwoko stated Chair Mark Ahrendsen recently announced his retirement, effective April 1st.

His last meeting will be in March. At the next meeting MPO staff will bring the 2014 Bylaws to review the process for electing a replacement Chair. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there were any questions. There were no questions.

12. Report from the DCHC MPO TC Chair

David Bonk, DCHC MPO TC Vice Chair

Vice Chair David Bonk noted there was no report from the Chair.

13. NCDOT Reports

David Keilson, NCDOT, Division 5 stated the Alston Avenue project was having problems with moving utilities and NCDOT were anticipating letting the contract in June and August. At University Drive where a roundabout was planned, after getting a forecast and doing more analysis, NCDOT found that a roundabout would adequately handle the traffic. We are currently working with City Staff to provide more details about what the improvements will look like. Vice Chair David Bonk asked if there were any questions. There were no questions.

Ed Lewis, NCDOT, Division 7, stated everyone should have a copy of the report. There really wasn't anything to report. Everything is moving along, and all projects are still in development. Vice Chair David Bonk mentioned at the MPO Board meeting that Patrick McDonough attended, there were questions from Mayor Hemminger about the status of projects. The roundabout at Harbinger Road was letting sometime this summer that triggered that we haven't seen the designs. Ed Lewis stated the Division was being directed by Chief Holder to reduce our time on this project and it will take six months to get everything done. The Town of Chapel Hill will be involved in that project. Vice Chair David Bonk asked about the improvements at the intersection of Main, Franklin, Merritt Mill, and Brewer Lane and a

401 consultant has taken that on. Ed Lewis stated we're still pulling stuff together to be sure we are clear on what we want, and if we need to hold additional meetings with you all, we will. 402 403 Darius Sturdivant, NCDOT, Division 8, stated there was a sinkhole on Lystra Road in Chatham County. It was on the news and our guys were sent out to take care of it. We are putting together an 404 405 emergency contact for let, and that may take about 5-6 months to complete. The road detours are 406 already placed. We will keep you posted. There was no report from NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch. 407 There was no report from NCDOT Traffic Operations. 408 409 410 411 **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:** 14. Recent News, Articles, and Updates 412 Felix Nwoko stated he made a request that the NCDOT to provide the TC with the breakdown of 413 414 North Carolina funding allocations. As the MPO gets the information, the MPO will share it. 415 **ADJOURNMENT:** There being no further business before the DCHC MPO Technical Committee, the meeting was 416 417 adjourned at 10:53 a.m.